

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark, Office Address COMMISSIONIES FOR PATENTS PO. Bot. 1159 Managing, Vinguis 2213-1459

APPLICATION NO	n	LINGDATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOX KET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO 2982	
10/071.748		02/05/2002	Neah Nichelson	017201-045900US		
20359	7500	45/08/2104		EXAMINER		
		TOWNSEND AN RO CENTER	PIORILLA, CHI	PIORILLA, CHRISTOPHER A		
EIGHTH FL			ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN FRAN	CISCO, C	A 94111-3834	1714			

DATE MAILED: 05/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary			10/071,748	NICHELSON ET AL.			
			Examiner	Art Unit			
			Christopher A. Fiorilla	1731			
Period f	- The MAILING DATE of this communi	lcation app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence	e address		
A SF THE - Extr afte - If the - If No - Fail Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD For MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI: restors of time may be available under the provisions: \$17. (ii) MONTH's from the metric date of this communication of the partial of mayby specified above is less than thirty (5/, years of the provisions of the provisions of the partial of mayby seems of the provisions of the provisions of the provisions of years of the provisions of the provisions of years of the provisions of years of the provisions of years of the provisions of years of	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.13 uncation. b) days, a reply rutory period wi	(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statetory minimum of thirty (30) de- ill apply, and well expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the property of the state of the s	mely filed ys will be considered in the mailing date of the	his communication		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>19 Ma</u>	rch 2004.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2	b) This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practic	e under Ex	parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 and 25-32 is/are pendi	ng in the a	polication				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		moni consideration.				
	Claim(s) 1-12 25-32 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
ا_(ە	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the				,		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accep	oted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any object				h.		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t						
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to						
Priority L	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[] a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority d			-(d) or (f).			
	2. Certified copies of the priority d	locuments I	have been received in Application	on No			
	 Copies of the certified copies of 	f the priority	y documents have been receive	d in this Nation	al Stage		
	application from the Internation						
- 8	ee the attached detailed Office action	for a list of	the certified copies not receive	d.			
Attachment							
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	PTO-413)			
3) Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTi lation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P No(s)/Mail Date	O-948) TO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Meil Ds 5) Notice of Informal Ps 6) Other:	tent Application (P	TO-152)		

Application No.

Applicant(s)

U.S. Palent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/071,748

Art Unit: 1731

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A pattent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior as the such that the subject matter as a whole to be person having ordinary bill in the act to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(e) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- Claims 1, 3-11 and 25-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benker (5,618,767) in view of Chwastiak et al. (5,643,514).

Benker teaches the basic claimed process of producing a reaction bonded silicon carbide body. The process disclosed by Benker includes the steps of:

forming a ceramic slurry by combining:

a carbon source (e.g. col. 4, line 15), silicon carbide (e.g. col. 4, line 13), starch (e.g. col. 4, line 18), and liquid (e.g. col. 4, line 22):

compacting the slurry into a green body (e.g. col. 3, lines 35-41); and

Application/Control Number: 10/071,748 Art Unit: 1731

exposing the green body to liquid silicon metal to produce a reaction bonded silicon carbide body (e.g. col. 3, lines 53-57).

Note that Benker discloses ingredient amounts that appear to lie within the claimed ranges (e.g. see examples) and disclose the addition of water in amounts which can be varied (e.g. col. 3, lines 32-41 and examples).

Benker also discloses the carbon source can be graphite or carbon black (e.g. col. 3, line 22); the starch can be potato, corn or wheat starch (e.g. col. 2, lines 30-33); the application of pressure to the slurry (i.e pressure easting at col. 3, line 37) and the use of a porous mold (i.e. slip casting at col. 3, line 36-37).

Benker does not specifically disclose the claimed siliconizing temperatures. Chwastiak et al. discloses siliconizing temperatures of 1420-2400°C. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to siliconize the body of Benker at the temperatures disclosed by Chwastiak et al.

Determination of the specific mold configuration, molding pressures and process times would have been well within the realm of routine experimentation to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. These parameters would have obviously been selected to optimize the process conditions and/or the properties of the final product.

 Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benker (5.618,767) in view of Chwastiak et al. (5.643,514) as applied to claims 1, 3-11 and 25-32 above, and further in view of Andersen (2,938,807). Application/Control Number: 10/071,748 Art Unit: 1731

Andersen discloses hexagonal SiC grit (i.e. alpha SiC) is used to produce a reaction bonded silicon carbide. It would have been obvious to use this type of silicon carbide in the process of Benker in view of the generic disclosure therein.

 Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benker (5.618.767) in view of Chwastiak et al. (5.643,514) as applied to claims 1, 3-11 and 25-32 above, and further in view of Waggoner et al. (2003/0180579).

Waggoner et al. discloses that SiC ceramics are suitable for armor (see e.g. paragraph [0095]). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use the material of Benker for armor in view of the teachine of Waggoner et al.

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Note however, it is necessary to comment on applicants' characterization of the Benker reference. Applicants state that the starch solutions suggested by Benker are more concentrated (i.e. 70 wt% starch and 30 wt.% water) than the ones used in the present invention. It is submitted that this mischaracterizes the disclosure of the reference. For example, Example 1 of Benker discloses the addition of a starch solution as recited above but then further adds more water which dilutes the solution. Further, col. 3, lines 32-41 disclose the addition of more water to allow the use of specific molding techniques.

Art Unit: 1731

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this
Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).
 Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Frorilla whose telephone number is (571) 272-1187. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 6:30am-3:000m

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on (571) 1272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PARI) systems. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR on; PaURi PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR on; For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR on; system, comeat the Electronic Business Center (EBC) as 66-2(7-19) 97 (101-free).

Christopher A. Fiorilla Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731